

RESEARCH A RTICLE

ICHTHYOFAUNAL DIVERSITY OF THE PAKHANJORE DAM DIST KANKER, CG, INDIA

Sunil Mondal^{1*}, Agarwal, R.K² and Sanjay Thiske³

¹Dcvru Kota Bilsaspur Chhattisgarh. ²Government Science College, Kherta Bazar, Chhattisgarh. ³Government Digvijay College, Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh, India.

E-mail: sunilmondal1978@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The survey was under taken for Ichthyofaunal diversity study in the Pakhanjore Reservoir of Canker District. The survey was mainly focused on Ichthyofaunal diversity. 25 Species of fishes belonging to 5 orders 11 family and 20 genera was recorded during the study. Cyprinidae were most dominat group represent by 12 species, Siluridae with 2 species, Ophiocephalidae with 2 species, Bagridae 1 species, Mestacemballidae with 2 species, Saccobranchidae 1 species, Claridae 1 species, Centropomidae 1 species, Notopteridae 1 species, Gobiidae 1 species and Cichlidae 1 species. This is first ever study on the fish diversity of this reservoir and would help in explore the fish fauna of Pakhanjore Dam.

Key words: Abundance, Ichthyofaunal Diversity, Pakhanjore

INTRODUCTION

F ish are important palatable protenious food for mankinds. Fish constitutes half of the total number of vertebrates in the world. Pakhanjore Dam is situated in Pakhanjore Tehsil of Kanker district.. The district has rich fish fauna and there is need to contemplate measures to protect the genetic resources. The main threat for the decline of various fish fauna may be due to juvenile indiscrimination fishing of and destruction of natural environment further deteriorating the situation and water pollution. Study hence forth has been contemplated to verify the fish resources in the fresh water bodies in Pakhanjore Dam of Kanker district.

Studied have been made on Ichthyofaunal diversity of various fresh water bodies in India during the last few decades (Jayaram 1981,

Jhingran 1983, Dutta et al., 2001, Mishra et al,.2003) However, scanty information is available from this region of India. Day (1875), Muddana (1971), Rajgopal at el., (1978), Mathew et al., (1979), Jayaram, (1981, 1999), Menon (1999) Jhingran (1983), Talwar ar el., Kumar⁷ Harmar (1999), (1991). (2001)(Murthy2002), Goswami (2006), Park and Shin (2007), Muley et al., (2007). Pawar et al, (2006) studied fish fauna of pethwadaj dam, Nanded. Kulkarni et al. (2008) studied fish and fisheries of Derala tank, dist.Nanded, Maharashtra. Rohankar (2009) studied biodiversity of fishes in Aheri Lake of Maharashtra. Ravindar (2010) studied biodiversity of fishes in Dharmasagar reservoir, Warangal District, Andha Pradesh. In the present study it is aimed to evaluate the freshwater fish fauna in the Pakhanjore Dam of Kanker District, Chhattisgarh.

MATERIALS AND METHOD

Study Area:

The Pakhanjore fresh water reservoir situated about 130 km west of Kanker city. Pakhanjore Dam is situated between 20.02" 32.15° N. latitude and 80.38" 08.88° E longitudes. The reservoir was surrounded by agricultural land from all sides. Pakhanjore Dam is used for irrigation and aquaculture practices. Present work has been conducted on 2 sampling sites of Pakhanjure Dam for the estimation of its fish diversity. Site 1 was fixed at near the p.v No 55 village, site 2 near the village Pakhanjure side.

Fishes were collected from Pakhanjure Dam catchment area. Fish were collected for a period of one year from Jan 2010 - Dec 2010.The fish were collected by hand-net, cast nets with the help of local fisherman and local market. Collected fish sample were preserved in 4 percents formalin and identified (Fishes of India by Day,F. 1958 and Talwar and Jhingran [12], Jayaram [13].

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

During the study a total of 25 species of primary freshwater fishes belonging to 11 Families and 19 genera were recorded from the study sites. Number of species, and their relative abundance is given in Table, 1. In the assemblage structure, cyprinidae constituted the dominant group and the cyprinid Labeo rohita, Catla catla, Cirrhinus mrigala are represent in all study sites. The family Cyprinidae dominated with 14 species followed Channidae with 2 species and Bagaridae with 1 species, beside other family as Siluridae. Mastacembelidae. Cobitidae. Clariidae. Saccobranchidae. Centropomidae, Cichlidae andGobiidae (Table-1).

In these reported fishes, Cyprinidae family was more dominant. Many researchers have reported the strong dominance of Cyprinidae family in their investigation on ichthyofaunal diversity.

Sakhare (2001) reported 23 species belonging to 7 orders where cyprinidae family was dominant with 11 species from Jawalgaon reservoir



S.No	Order	Family	No. of Fish Species	Species Composition %				
1	Clupeiformes	Notopeteridae	1	4%				
		Cyprinidae	12	48%				
		Siluridae	2	8%				
2	Cypriniformes	Bagridae	1	4%				
		Saccobranchidae	1	4%				
		Clariidae	1	4%				
3	Ophiocephaliformes	Ophiocephalidae	2	8%				
		Centropomidae	1	4%				
4	Perciformes	Gobiidae	1	4%				
		Cichlidae	1	4%				
5	Mastacembeleformes	Mastacembelidae	2	8%				
Fig: 2 Family wise species composition of fish fauna in pakhanjure Dam Gobiidae Mastacembalid Notopteridae								
	4%	Cichlidae ae	4%					

Table. 1:- Family wise species composition of fishes in Pakhanjure Dam



Solapur district Maharastra. Battul et al; (2000) reported 18 species from Ekrukh lake Solapur district where Cyprinidae family was dominant with 8 species. Khedkar and Gynanath (2005) reported 37 species from Issapur dam in district Yavatmal where Cyprinidae family was dominant with 20 species. Sharma (2008) reported 87 species under 36 genera belonging to Cyprinidae family from freshwater of Nepal. Ubharane et al. (2011) observed 27 species belonging to 11 families where Cyprinade Family was dominant with 13 species from Ambadi dam in the district of Aurangabad, Maharashtra.

Choube et al., (2013) reported 45 species in Rajnandgaon town of CG, India where Cyprinadae was the largest dominant family contributing 20, species and Bagridae formed the sub dominant family. This study also support the present study.

•				Deletive
S.No	Family	Genus and Species	Local Name	abundance
1	Notopteridae	Notopterus notopeterus	Patola	С
		Order – Cypriniformes		
2	Cyprinidae	Catla catla	Katla	А
3	Cyprinidae	Cirrhinus mrigala	Mrigal	С
4	Cyprinidae	Garra gotyla	Butuwa	С
5	Cyprinidae	Labeo bata	Bata	С
6	Cyprinidae	Labeo calbasu	Kamach	С
7	Cyprinidae	Labeo rohita	Rohu	А
8	Cyprinidae	Oxygaster bacaila	Sirangi	С
9	Cyprinidae	Puntius sarana	Kotra	А
10	Cyprinidae	Puntius sophore	Jarhi kotri	А
11	Cyprinidae	Puntius ticto	Jarhi kotri	А
12	Cyprinidae	Rasbora daniconius	Dadhai	С
13	Cyprinidae	Cyprinus carpio	Komal carp	М
14	Siluridae	Ompok bimaculatus	Botia	А
15	Siluridae	Wallago attu	Padhan	А
16	Bagridae	Mystus vittatus	Tengna	С
17	Saccobranchidae	Heteropneustes fossilis	Singhi	R
18	Clariidae	Clarias batrachus	Mongri	R
		Order – Ophiocephaliformes		
19	Ophiocephalidae	Channa gachua	Bijalwa/Bijru	С
20	Ophiocephalidae	Channa punctatus	Khoksi	С
		Order – Perciformes		
21	Centropomidae	Chanda nama	Chandeni	А
22	Gobioidae	Glossogobius giuris	Khasadda	М
23	Cichlidae	Oreochromis mossambicus	Tilapia	R
		Order – Mastacembeleformes		
24	Mastacembelidae	Macrognathu aculeatus	Jat bami	R
25	Mastacembelidae	Mastacembelus pancalus	Bami	R

Table. 2:- Showing the Diversity of fishes in Pakhanjure Dam during Jan 2013- Dec.2013

Abbreviation: A: Abundant; C: Common; M: Moderate; R: Rare.

Narsimha et al.,(2013) reported 30 species in Nagaram Tank of Warangal, Andhrapradesh where order Cypriniformes were dominant by contributing 13 species. Nagma et al (2013) study fresh water fish fauna of district Bijnour in Uttar Pradesh where orded Cypriniformes was dominating with 18 species. Dongre et al., (2012) reported 68 species of fishes in Tribal Distric Satpura valley, Betul of Madhya Pradesh in India where order cypriniformes was dominated.

CONCLUSION

The recent study resulted in recording of some important fish species threatened like Clarius bratacus and Macrognathu aculeatus .The present study is the first is firs ever documentation of Ichthyofauna in the Pakhanjore Dam in Kanker District, C.G. This study should open a new ways for incoming Ichthyofaunal research.

REFERENCES

- 1 Day, F. (1875):The fishes of India, being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the sea and freshwater of India, Burmaand Ceylon.,Test and atlas, 4 port London.
- 2 Das, S.K and Chand B.k (2003):Limnology and biodiversity of ichthyofauna a pond of Southern Orissa J.Ecotoxic. Environ. Monit. 13(2); 97-102.
- 3 Goswmi, T.K and M.M. Goswami (2006): Icthyofauna diversity and catch statics of Jasmalis method in Kamrup district of Assam, India.
- 4 Jayaram K.C (1981): "The Fresh water fishes of India" ZSI 1-438.
- 5 Jayaram, K.C. (1999): The fresh water Fishes of India, Hindustan publising corporation (India), Dehli.
- 6 Jhingran V.G (1983). Fish and fisheries of India 1st Edn. Hindustan Publishing corporation, New Delhi. P. 660
- 7 Kumar, D (2001). Fish productivity of ecologically different ponds with references to carps and air breathing fishes. J. Inland Fish. Soc. India, 33, 8-16
- 8 Mathew, P.M., B.K. Singh and D.P. Chakrobortthy (1979). Stocking of fry in composite fish culture. In: symposium on Inland Aqaculture, CIFRI, Barrackpore.pp. 1-44.
- 9 Muddana, V (1971). Fresh water fishes of Mysore state, their vernacular name, distribution, growth, breeding and fishery. Univ. Agric. Sci., Res. Series, Bangalore, India.
- 10 Menon, A.G.K.(1999): Checklist of freshwater fishes of india. Z.S.I. Kolkata.
- 11 Mishra, K.S (1962): An aid to the identification of the common commercial fishes of India and Pakistan. Rec. India Mus.
- 12 Sen T.K. (1995). Fauna of Indravati Tiger Reserve, conservation area series. Zoological Survey of India, 6, 61-70.
- 13 Sharma H.S. (2007). Fresh water Fishes, In Fauna of Madhyapradesh, State fauna series, 15(10, 147-244.
- 14 Shrivastav G.J. (1991).Fishes of Easter Uttar Pradesh, Vishwavidyalaya Prakashan, Varanasi

15 Talwar P.K and Jhinngran K.C. (1991). Indland fishes of India and adjacent countries, 3(1and 2) Oxford and IBH Co.Pvt. Ltd, New Dehli.

DOI:

https://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7214525 Received: 14 April 2014; Accepted; 27 May 2014; Available online : 19 June 2014 Sunil Mondal et al